

*Simultaneous Triangularization of Collections
of Operators and Matrices*

Ali Jafarian

- A subspace \mathcal{M} of a vector space \mathcal{V} is said to be invariant under a linear operator $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ if $T\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

- A subspace \mathcal{M} of a vector space \mathcal{V} is said to be invariant under a linear operator $T : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ if $T\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Question. Dose every linear operator have a *nontrivial* invariant subspace?

Ans. No in general!

a. In finite dimensional spaces, it depends to the coefficient field. For $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}^2$, as a vector space over \mathbb{R} , the rotation operator T_θ by an angle θ , $\theta \neq 0, \pi$, has no nontrivial invariant subspace.

a. In finite dimensional spaces, it depends to the coefficient field. For $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}^2$, as a vector space over \mathbb{R} , the rotation operator T_θ by an angle θ , $\theta \neq 0, \pi$, has no nontrivial invariant subspace.

b. In infinite dimensions, there are linear operators with no nontrivial "closed" invariant subspaces.

a. In finite dimensional spaces, it depends to the coefficient field. For $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}^2$, as a vector space over \mathbb{R} , the rotation operator T_θ by an angle θ , $\theta \neq 0, \pi$, has no nontrivial invariant subspace.

b. In infinite dimensions, there are linear operators with no nontrivial "closed" invariant subspaces.

- Per Enflo [1975] and C.J. Read [1984-8] showed that there are bounded linear operators on nonreflexive Banach spaces with no nontrivial invariant subspaces.

- An operator T (or a collection \mathcal{C} of operators) is said to be (simultaneously) triangularizable if there is a chain of invariant subspaces for T (for all members of \mathcal{C}) which is maximal as a subspace chain:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \vdots & \ddots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & * \end{bmatrix}$$

Schur's Theorem. Every linear operator on a finite-dimensional vector spaces over an algebraically closed field is triangularizable.

Schur's Theorem. Every linear operator on a finite-dimensional vector spaces over an algebraically closed field is triangularizable.

- For such vector spaces, it is well known that any *commutative* collection \mathcal{C} of operators or matrices is simultaneously triangularizable.

Schur's Theorem. Every linear operator on a finite-dimensional vector spaces over an algebraically closed field is triangularizable.

- For such vector spaces, it is well known that any *commutative* collection \mathcal{C} of operators or matrices is simultaneously triangularizable.

Question. Under what other conditions a collection \mathcal{C} of matrices or operators is simultaneously triangularizable?

Observation 1. If \mathcal{C} is commutative, then for all S and T in \mathcal{C} the *commutator operator* $[S, T] = ST - TS = 0$.

Observation 1. If \mathcal{C} is commutative, then for all S and T in \mathcal{C} the *commutator operator* $[S, T] = ST - TS = 0$.

Observation 2. If \mathcal{C} is triangularizable, then for all S and T in \mathcal{C} the operator $[S, T] = ST - TS$ is nilpotent:

$$ST - TS = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & * \\ 0 & \vdots & \ddots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- In view of these observations, one way to generalize is to consider collections \mathcal{C} for which the commutator $[S, T] = ST - TS$ is “small’, in some sense’.

- In view of these observations, one way to generalize is to consider collections \mathcal{C} for which the commutator $[S, T] = ST - TS$ is “small’, in some sense’.
- Such generalizations have been studied extensively and there are many interesting results. Here, we will survey some of them.

- In view of these observations, one way to generalize is to consider collections \mathcal{C} for which the commutator $[S, T] = ST - TS$ is “small’, in some sense’.
- Such generalizations have been studied extensively and there are many interesting results. Here, we will survey some of them.
- In the following parts I-IV, all operators are linear and defined on a complex f.d.v.s. \mathcal{V} . Also, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ stands for the algebra of all such operators.

I. Nilpotent commutators $[S, T]$:

I. Nilpotent commutators $[S, T]$:

Theorem(N. McCoy, 1934.) A pair S, T is simultaneously triangularizable iff $p(S, T)(ST - TS)$ is nilpotent for every noncommutative polynomial p .

I. Nilpotent commutators $[S, T]$:

Theorem(N. McCoy, 1934.) A pair S, T is simultaneously triangularizable iff $p(S, T)(ST - TS)$ is nilpotent for every noncommutative polynomial p .

Theorem(R. Guralnick, 1980.) A semigroup \mathcal{S} of operators on \mathcal{V} is simultaneously triangularizable iff for all S, T in \mathcal{S} , the commutator $ST - TS$ is nilpotent.

I. Nilpotent commutators $[S, T]$:

Theorem(N. McCoy, 1934.) A pair S, T is simultaneously triangularizable iff

$p(S, T)(ST - TS)$ is nilpotent for every noncommutative polynomial p .

Theorem(R. Guralnick, 1980.) A semigroup \mathcal{S} of operators on \mathcal{V} is simultaneously triangularizable iff for all S, T in \mathcal{S} , the commutator $ST - TS$ is nilpotent.

Theorem(H. Radjavi 2000.) A semigroup \mathcal{S} of operators on \mathcal{V} is simultaneously triangularizable iff each pair S, T of \mathcal{S} is.

II. Algebras and Radicals

Definition. The *Radical* of a unital algebra \mathcal{A} , $\text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$, is

$$\begin{aligned} & \{B \in \mathcal{A} : \sigma(AB) = \{0\} \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A}\} \\ & = \{B \in \mathcal{A} : \sigma(BA) = \{0\} \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A}\} . \end{aligned}$$

II. Algebras and Radicals

Definition. The *Radical* of a unital algebra \mathcal{A} , $\text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$, is

$$\begin{aligned} & \{B \in \mathcal{A} : \sigma(AB) = \{0\} \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A}\} \\ & = \{B \in \mathcal{A} : \sigma(BA) = \{0\} \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{A}\} . \end{aligned}$$

Theorem(McCoy,1936.) If \mathcal{A} be a unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$, then \mathcal{A} is simultaneously triangularizable iff the quotient algebra $\mathcal{A}/\text{Rad } \mathcal{A}$ is commutative.

Corollary A semisimple unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ is triangularizable iff it is commutative.

Corollary A semisimple unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ is triangularizable iff it is commutative.

III. Rank ≤ 1 commutators

Corollary A semisimple unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ is triangularizable iff it is commutative.

III. Rank ≤ 1 commutators

Theorem(Laffey, 1979.) If $ST - TS$ has rank at most 1, then S, T are simultaneously triangularizable.

Corollary A semisimple unital subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{V})$ is triangularizable iff it is commutative.

III. Rank ≤ 1 commutators

Theorem(Laffey, 1979.) If $ST - TS$ has rank at most 1, then S, T are simultaneously triangularizable.

- In a just submitted paper (A. Jafarian, A. Popov, and H. Radjavi, 2016) we investigated existence of invariant subspaces as well as triangularizability under a similar rank condition:

Theorem(JPR, 2016). Suppose that \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{L} are two commutative sets of matrices and in addition \mathcal{L} is a linear space. If $\text{rank}(AB - BA) \leq 1$ for all $A \in \mathcal{K}$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}$, then $\mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{L}$ is simultaneously triangularizable.

Theorem(JPR, 2016). Suppose that \mathcal{K} and \mathcal{L} are two commutative sets of matrices and in addition \mathcal{L} is a linear space. If $\text{rank}(AB - BA) \leq 1$ for all $A \in \mathcal{K}$ and $B \in \mathcal{L}$, then $\mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{L}$ is simultaneously triangularizable.

Theorem.(JPR) Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be algebras of matrices such that $\text{rank}(AB - BA) \leq 1$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$. If $[A, B]$ is nonzero for some $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, then $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$ has a common nontrivial invariant subspace.

Question. What can be said if
 $\text{rank}(A - B) \leq 2$? We have the following

Question. What can be said if $\text{rank}(A - B) \leq 2$? We have the following **Theorem**(A. Jafarian, A. Popov, M. Radjabalipour, and H. Radjavi, 2014). Let \mathcal{G} be a group of unitary $n \times n$ matrices. If $\text{rank}(AB - BA) \leq 2$, for all $A, B \in \mathcal{G}$, then there is a subspace \mathcal{M} of \mathbb{C}^n such that $1 \leq \dim \mathcal{M} \leq 3$ and $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_2$ with \mathcal{G}_2 abelian, where the direct sum is with respect to the decomposition $\mathbb{C}^n = \mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{M}^\perp$.

IV. Approximate commutativity.

IV. Approximate commutativity.

- *Triangularizability* \Rightarrow *approximate commutativity*

IV. Approximate commutativity.

- *Triangularizability* \Rightarrow *approximate commutativity*

Theorem(Jafarian, Radjavi, Rosenthal, Sourour, 1995). Let \mathcal{A} be an algebra of upper triangular matrices relative to a given basis. Then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an invertible matrix S_ϵ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\|S_\epsilon^{-1}AS_\epsilon - \mathcal{D}(A)\| \leq \epsilon\|A\|,$$

where $\mathcal{D}(A)$ is the diagonal matrix of A .

- *Approximate commutativity* \Rightarrow
triangularizability

- *Approximate commutativity* \Rightarrow
triangularizability

Theorem(JRRS, 1995). Suppose that \mathcal{C} is a family of linear operators with the property that, for each finite subfamily $\{A_1, \dots, A_m\}$, there is a constant $K > 0$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exist a commutative family $\{D_1, \dots, D_m\}$ and an invertible S satisfying $\|S^{-1}A_jS - D_j\| < \epsilon$ and $\|D_j\| < K$ for all j . Then \mathcal{C} is triangularizable.

V. Generalizations to infinite dimensions.

V. Generalizations to infinite dimensions.

- Many of these results are extended to infinite-dimensional Hilbert/Banach spaces.

V. Generalizations to infinite dimensions.

- Many of these results are extended to infinite-dimensional Hilbert/Banach spaces.

Theorem(E.Nordgreen, H.Radjavi, P.Rosenthal 1976.) Suppose S, T are compact operators on a Banach space. Then S, T is simultaneously triangularizable iff $p(S, T)(ST - TS)$ is quasinilpotent for every noncommutative polynomial p .

Theorem(H. Radjavi, P. Rosenthal, V. Shulman 2000) A semigroup \mathcal{S} of compact operators on a Banach space \mathcal{X} is simultaneously triangularizable iff for all S, T in \mathcal{S} , the commutator $ST - TS$ is quasinilpotent.

Theorem(H. Radjavi, P. Rosenthal, V. Shulman 2000) A semigroup \mathcal{S} of compact operators on a Banach space \mathcal{X} is simultaneously triangularizable iff for all S, T in \mathcal{S} , the commutator $ST - TS$ is quasinilpotent.

Theorem(H. Radjavi, P. Rosenthal 1997.) A semigroup \mathcal{S} of compact operators on a Banach space \mathcal{X} is simultaneously triangularizable iff each pair S, T of \mathcal{S} is.

Theorem(H. Radjavi, P. Rosenthal, 2000)

Let \mathcal{S} be a semigroup of compact operators on a Banach space. If $\text{rank}(ST - TS) \leq 1$, for all pairs S, T in \mathcal{S} , then \mathcal{S} is simultaneously triangulizable.

Theorem(H. Radjavi, P. Rosenthal, 2000)

Let \mathcal{S} be a semigroup of compact operators on a Banach space. If $\text{rank}(ST - TS) \leq 1$, for all pairs S, T in \mathcal{S} , then \mathcal{S} is simultaneously triangulizable.

Note The same conclusion holds for a semigroup of algebraic operators.

Theorem(A. Jafarian, H.Radjavi, P.Rosenthal, A.Sourour, 1995). Let \mathcal{F} be a family of compact operators on a Banach space with the following property: Given any finite subset $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ of \mathcal{F} there is a constant $M > 0$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there are commuting compact operators $\{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$ with $\|D_j\| \leq M$ and there is an invertible S satisfying

$$\|S^{-1}A_jS - D_j\| < \epsilon$$

for every j . Then \mathcal{F} is triangularizable.

Theorem(A. Jafarian, H.Radjavi, P.Rosenthal, A.Sourour, 1995). If $\{A_1, \dots, A_k\}$ is a simultaneously triangularizable family of compact operators on a Hilbert space, then there exist commuting compact normal operators $\{D_1, \dots, D_k\}$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an invertible operator S satisfying

$$\|S^{-1}A_jS - D_j\| < \epsilon$$

for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$.